

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,138	<u> </u>	11/13/2003	Keiichi Sakuno	0020-5190P	6231	
2292	7590	09/13/2005		EXAMINER		
		Γ KOLASCH & BII	nguyen, Khanh v			
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2817		

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			S) /
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/706,138	SAKUNO, KEIICHI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khanh V. Nguyen	2817	
0	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Before the rining of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit								
	Khanh V. Nguyen	2817								
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress							
HE REPLY FILED 19 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.										
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:										
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.									
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.										
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).										
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	e of the date of							
filing the Notice of Appeal was filed on A offer in config filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since							
	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0021100							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);										
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):										
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		·	•							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:										
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:										
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:										
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE										
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 										
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation										
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:										
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).										
13. Other:		Whanklander	mph							
	•	Khanh V Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2817	1 -							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: claims (1,2) correspond with Figure 2 (series) and claims (3-7) correspond with Figure 1 (feedback). Figures (1 and 2) are two distinct species which require further considerationand/or search.